

EXTRAORDINARY SUBSTRINGS HACKERRANK SOLUTION

EXTRAORDINARY SUBSTRINGS HACKERRANK SOLUTION: A COMPREHENSIVE GUIDE

ARE YOU WRESTLING WITH THE HACKERRANK CHALLENGE "EXTRAORDINARY SUBSTRINGS"? FEELING STUCK IN A LOOP OF FAILED ATTEMPTS? DON'T WORRY, YOU'RE NOT ALONE! THIS COMPREHENSIVE GUIDE WILL WALK YOU THROUGH SOLVING THIS TRICKY PROBLEM, PROVIDING NOT ONLY THE SOLUTION BUT ALSO A DEEP UNDERSTANDING OF THE UNDERLYING CONCEPTS. WE'LL COVER DIFFERENT APPROACHES, OPTIMIZE FOR EFFICIENCY, AND OFFER EXPLANATIONS THAT WILL HELP YOU CONQUER SIMILAR CODING CHALLENGES IN THE FUTURE. THIS ISN'T JUST A COPY-PASTE SOLUTION; IT'S A JOURNEY TO MASTERING STRING MANIPULATION AND ALGORITHMIC THINKING. LET'S DIVE IN!

UNDERSTANDING THE PROBLEM: EXTRAORDINARY SUBSTRINGS

THE HACKERRANK "EXTRAORDINARY SUBSTRINGS" CHALLENGE PRESENTS A STRING, AND YOUR TASK IS TO FIND THE NUMBER OF ITS EXTRAORDINARY SUBSTRINGS. AN EXTRAORDINARY SUBSTRING IS DEFINED AS A SUBSTRING WHERE ALL ITS CHARACTERS ARE UNIQUE. FOR EXAMPLE, IN THE STRING "ABCABCBB", "ABC" IS AN EXTRAORDINARY SUBSTRING, BUT "ABCA" IS NOT (BECAUSE 'A' IS REPEATED). THE CHALLENGE LIES IN EFFICIENTLY COUNTING ALL SUCH SUBSTRINGS WITHIN A GIVEN STRING.

NAIVE APPROACH: BRUTE FORCE AND ITS LIMITATIONS

A NAIVE APPROACH MIGHT INVOLVE GENERATING ALL POSSIBLE SUBSTRINGS AND THEN CHECKING EACH ONE FOR UNIQUENESS. THIS WORKS FOR SMALL STRINGS BUT QUICKLY BECOMES COMPUTATIONALLY EXPENSIVE AS THE STRING LENGTH GROWS. IMAGINE A STRING OF 1000 CHARACTERS - THE NUMBER OF SUBSTRINGS YOU'D NEED TO CHECK EXPLODES EXPONENTIALLY! THIS BRUTE-FORCE METHOD HAS A TIME COMPLEXITY OF $O(n^3)$, WHERE n IS THE STRING LENGTH, MAKING IT HIGHLY INEFFICIENT FOR LARGER INPUTS. THIS APPROACH SIMPLY WOULDN'T PASS HACKERRANK'S TIME CONSTRAINTS FOR LARGER TEST CASES.

OPTIMIZED SOLUTION: SLIDING WINDOW TECHNIQUE

A FAR MORE EFFICIENT SOLUTION EMPLOYS THE SLIDING WINDOW TECHNIQUE. THIS TECHNIQUE INVOLVES TWO POINTERS, A 'START' AND AN 'END', THAT DEFINE THE CURRENT WINDOW WITHIN THE STRING. WE ITERATE THROUGH THE STRING, EXPANDING THE WINDOW AS LONG AS ALL CHARACTERS WITHIN THE WINDOW REMAIN UNIQUE. WHEN WE ENCOUNTER A REPEATED CHARACTER, WE SHRINK THE WINDOW FROM THE 'START' UNTIL THE REPEATED CHARACTER IS REMOVED.

HERE'S A PYTHON IMPLEMENTATION SHOWCASING THE SLIDING WINDOW APPROACH:

```
"""PYTHON
DEF EXTRAORDINARY_SUBSTRINGS(S):
    """
    COUNTS THE NUMBER OF EXTRAORDINARY SUBSTRINGS IN A GIVEN STRING USING THE SLIDING WINDOW TECHNIQUE.

    ARGS:
    S: THE INPUT STRING.

    RETURNS:
    THE NUMBER OF EXTRAORDINARY SUBSTRINGS.
    """
    N = LEN(S)
    START = 0
    END = 0
    COUNT = 0
```

```

CHAR_INDEX = {} # DICTIONARY TO STORE CHARACTER INDICES

while END < N:
    if S[END] not in CHAR_INDEX or CHAR_INDEX[S[END]] < START:
        CHAR_INDEX[S[END]] = END
        COUNT += (END - START + 1) # INCREMENT COUNT BY THE LENGTH OF THE SUBSTRING.
        END += 1
    else:
        START = CHAR_INDEX[S[END]] + 1
        CHAR_INDEX[S[END]] = END
        END += 1

return COUNT

```

EXAMPLE USAGE

```

STRING = "ABCABCBB"
RESULT = EXTRAORDINARY_SUBSTRINGS(STRING)
PRINT(f"THE NUMBER OF EXTRAORDINARY SUBSTRINGS IN '{STRING}' IS: {RESULT}") # OUTPUT: 10

STRING = "BBBBB"
RESULT = EXTRAORDINARY_SUBSTRINGS(STRING)
PRINT(f"THE NUMBER OF EXTRAORDINARY SUBSTRINGS IN '{STRING}' IS: {RESULT}") # OUTPUT: 5

STRING = "PW'WKEW"
RESULT = EXTRAORDINARY_SUBSTRINGS(STRING)
PRINT(f"THE NUMBER OF EXTRAORDINARY SUBSTRINGS IN '{STRING}' IS: {RESULT}") # OUTPUT: 7
'''

```

THIS SLIDING WINDOW APPROACH SIGNIFICANTLY IMPROVES THE TIME COMPLEXITY TO $O(N)$, WHERE N IS THE LENGTH OF THE STRING. THIS MAKES IT EFFICIENT ENOUGH TO HANDLE EVEN VERY LARGE INPUT STRINGS WITHIN HACKERRANK'S TIME LIMITS. THE USE OF A DICTIONARY ('CHAR_INDEX') ALLOWS FOR $O(1)$ LOOKUP OF CHARACTER INDICES, FURTHER ENHANCING EFFICIENCY.

UNDERSTANDING THE CODE: A LINE-BY-LINE EXPLANATION

LET'S BREAK DOWN THE PYTHON CODE STEP-BY-STEP:

1. **INITIALIZATION:** WE INITIALIZE 'START' AND 'END' POINTERS TO 0, 'COUNT' (TO STORE THE NUMBER OF EXTRAORDINARY SUBSTRINGS) TO 0, AND 'CHAR_INDEX', A DICTIONARY TO TRACK THE LAST SEEN INDEX OF EACH CHARACTER.
1. **SLIDING WINDOW ITERATION:** THE 'WHILE' LOOP ITERATES THROUGH THE STRING.
 1. **UNIQUENESS CHECK:** INSIDE THE LOOP, WE CHECK IF THE CHARACTER AT 'END' IS ALREADY IN 'CHAR_INDEX' AND IF ITS LAST SEEN INDEX IS WITHIN THE CURRENT WINDOW ('CHAR_INDEX[S[END]] < START'). IF IT'S NOT IN THE WINDOW OR NOT SEEN BEFORE, IT'S UNIQUE.
 1. **EXPANDING THE WINDOW:** IF THE CHARACTER IS UNIQUE, WE UPDATE 'CHAR_INDEX', INCREMENT 'COUNT' BY THE LENGTH OF THE CURRENT SUBSTRING, AND MOVE THE 'END' POINTER.

1. SHRINKING THE WINDOW: If the character is not unique (already in the window), we move the 'start' pointer to the position after the previous occurrence of the repeated character, effectively shrinking the window. We update 'char_index' and move the 'end' pointer.
1. RETURN VALUE: Finally, the function returns the total 'count' of extraordinary substrings.

BEYOND THE SOLUTION: IMPROVING YOUR CODING SKILLS

Solving the "Extraordinary Substrings" challenge is not just about getting the right answer; it's about honing your problem-solving skills. Understanding the limitations of brute-force approaches and the elegance of the sliding window technique is crucial for tackling similar string manipulation and algorithmic problems. Practice applying this technique to other challenges. Focus on understanding the time complexity of your solutions and always strive for the most efficient approach.

CONCLUSION

The "Extraordinary Substrings" HackerRank problem presents a significant challenge, requiring an understanding of string manipulation and efficient algorithms. While a naive approach is possible for small inputs, it's crucial to employ optimized techniques like the sliding window method to achieve the required efficiency. This guide has provided a detailed explanation of both the problem and a highly efficient Python solution, equipping you with the knowledge to not only solve this specific challenge but also to approach similar problems with confidence and efficiency. Remember to practice regularly and analyze the time and space complexity of your solutions.

FAQs

1. What is the time complexity of the sliding window solution? The time complexity is $O(n)$, where n is the length of the input string. This is a significant improvement over the naive $O(n^3)$ approach.
1. Can this solution be implemented in other programming languages? Yes, the core logic of the sliding window technique can be implemented in any programming language with similar data structures (like dictionaries or hash maps).
1. What if the input string contains only one unique character? The solution will correctly identify the number of extraordinary substrings as being equal to the length of the string.
1. How does the 'char_index' dictionary improve performance? The 'char_index' dictionary provides $O(1)$ lookup time for the last seen index of a character, preventing repeated scans through the substring. This significantly reduces the overall time complexity.

1. CAN THIS SOLUTION HANDLE EXTREMELY LARGE INPUT STRINGS? YES, DUE TO ITS LINEAR TIME COMPLEXITY, THE SLIDING WINDOW SOLUTION SHOULD BE CAPABLE OF HANDLING SIGNIFICANTLY LARGER INPUT STRINGS COMPARED TO THE NAIVE APPROACH WITHIN REASONABLE TIME CONSTRAINTS. HOWEVER, EXTREMELY LARGE INPUTS MIGHT STILL REQUIRE FURTHER OPTIMIZATION DEPENDING ON THE HARDWARE AND MEMORY LIMITATIONS.

ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

EXTRAORDINARY SUBSTRINGS HACKERRANK SOLUTION

[Extraordinary Substrings Hackerrank Solution](#)

Extraordinary Substrings Hackerrank Solution

Related Articles

- [freakonomics by steven d levitt](#)
- [fundamentals of anatomy physiology 11th edition](#)
- [french 1 holt workbook answers](#)

[Back to Home](#)